

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/751,333	SARUKKAI ET AL.	
	Examiner Thuy Dao	Art Unit 2192	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Mr. William J. Daley (Reg. No. 52,471). (3) _____.
 (2) Thuy Dao. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 3 May 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 10, 12, 15, and 21

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Daley accepted examiner's proposed amendment to amend claims 1, 9, 10, 12, 15, and 21 to obviate any potential 35 USC 101 and 112 issues and put the claims in condition for allowance.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Thuy Dao/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2192

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)